Health & Safety Standards	Document Number: HSEE-03-01	Date Effective: 2023-06-12	Revision No: 03	Page 1 of 20
Énergie NB Power		ication, Assessment Job Hazard Analysis	0	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to provide a systematic approach to hazard identification, assessment, and mitigation for completing a job site risk assessment, or a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA) at NB Power. A risk evaluation requires many considerations for mitigation that are both reasonable and practicable. This is best achieved when utilizing the Hierarchy of Controls. A risk assessment will be completed when:

- High-risk Safety Work is performed that is not covered by a document or risk analysis that clearly identifies all hazards and mitigating controls.
- A newly introduced work process or hazard exists that requires documented analysis of risk and controls.
- The Workgroup Supervisor or Health & Safety deem it necessary.

2.0 <u>SCOPE</u>

This document is intended for all persons planning and conducting high risk safety work, or a newly introduced work process involving high risk to workers/public at NB Power including work that is performed through contract services or work that is not included in the local work planning process.

Although the criterion to compete a JHA is the same for contract personnel as for NB Power personnel, contractors may use their own risk analysis and process for completing a JHA provided the form and process meets the intent of a Job Hazard Analysis equivalent to or better than this Standard.

NB Occupational Health	Duties of the Employer, Supervisor, Contracting Employer, and
and Safety Act	Employee.
NB OHS General	
Regulation 91-191	
CSA Z1002-12	Occupational Health and Safety - Hazard Identification and
	Elimination and Risk Assessment and Control
HSEE-03-07	Human Performance Tools
HSEE-03-19	Contractor Safety Management
HSEE-03-41	Tailboard Conference (Pre-Job Briefing, PJB)
SDP-01368-A057	Point Lepreau Nuclear Generating Station Departmental Procedure
	Completing a Job Hazard Analysis
HSEE-03-51	Line of Fire

3.0 <u>REFERENCES</u>

4.0 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Analysis	An analysis is the examination of something in detail in order to
	understand it better or draw conclusions from it.
Approve/Approval	In the context of this Standard, the term approve or approval means
	that the responsible person(s) for the job has taken all reasonable
	measures to control the safety hazards associated with the job.
	Approving the JHA means that accountability is understood, and

 Health & Safety Standards
 Document Number: HSEE-03-01
 Date Effective: 2023-06-12
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Date Effective: Number:
 Revision No: 03
 Page 2 of 20

 Mumber:
 Document Standards
 Document Stand

due diligence has been completed in the development of the JHA. Consequence A consequence is the outcome of a hazardous event. Document Document in the context of this Standard refers to any approved Safe Work Method, Procedure, Code of Practice, existing Job Hazard Analysis, etc. High Risk Safety Work is any work that has the potential to cause a High Risk Safety Work major injury /illness (LTA) or one or more fatalities, and which has a medium to high likelihood of occurring without controls in place as defined in Appendix A. Hazard A hazard is anything that can cause harm to life, health, property or the environment (examples: toxic chemicals, moving machinery parts, high-voltage electricity, working at heights, temperature extremes, slippery work surfaces, etc.). The hierarchy of controls is the prioritized approach for hazard Hierarchy of Controls mitigation, in order of the most effective to least effective, being: Elimination (remove the hazard) • Substitution (replace with non-hazardous material or equipment) Engineering Controls. (create a physical barrier around the • hazard such as limiting access or exposure to a hazard, reducing energy, or providing an alternate means of interacting with a hazard) Administrative Controls (procedures, training, technology, lights, audible alarms, and warning signs) Personal Protective Equipment PPE (equipment to be worn or • held by a worker for protection). Inherent Risk A magnitude of risk before any controls have been implemented. Job Hazard Analysis A Job Hazard Analysis (JHA) is a systematic approach to preparing for a job by listing the steps of the job, identifying the potential hazards, and then removing the hazards or putting defenses in place to mitigate the hazards using the Hierarchy of Controls. Likelihood The chance of something happening Line of Fire The line of fire is the path an object under tension, pressure, suspension, or any other energy will travel should something fail or go wrong. Local Safety Generation Work Methods, Training, Safety Coordinators, T&D Representatives Safety Champions, Nuclear Health and Safety Advisors; etc. The extent to which an event is likely to occur Probability **Residual Risk** The magnitude of risk that remains, after all controls have been implemented The combination of the likelihood of the occurrence of an event and **Risk Severity** the severity of that event Risk evaluation is the process of comparing an estimated risk **Risk Evaluation** against given risk criteria to determine the significance of a risk (see

Health & Safety
StandardsDocument
Number:
HSEE-03-01Date Effective:
2023-06-12Revision No:
03Page 3 of 20View
Finergie NB PowerTitle:
Hazard Identification, Assessment & Mitigation for
Completing a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)Mitigation for
Completing a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)

	Appendix C).
Subject Matter Expert	A person or professional who has extensive knowledge and experience in a particular field or task. Who may need to be
	consulted on the risk analysis specific to the job being performed. An example of this would be a System Engineer for a particular plant system or function.

5.0 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Terminology for specific roles may differ in each business unit of the Corporation, such as Generation and Transmission. The roles have been defined, and each sector may hold a different title than described below. For major discrepancies, contact Total Health and Safety

5.1 Total Health & Safety

- support, as required, to determine when a JHA is necessary.
- when required, provide input and feedback on risk evaluation to ensure all reasonable measures have been taken to ensure the health and safety of all workers/members of the public.
- support when required, if the job has not achieved a moderate or low residual risk indicator, review and provide input on the work process. Determine if alternative options are available.

5.2 Local Safety Representative

- support the Planner/Assessor/ Supervisor//Project/Job Lead or Contractor to determine when a JHA is required during the hazard identification and risk evaluation process as needed.
- review the JHA in collaboration with the Workgroup Supervisor/Job Lead and provide feedback as required.
- when deemed necessary, perform a walk down of the job site with the supervisor responsible for the work.

5.3 Planner/Assessor/Detailer/Engineering (where applicable)

- assess the scope of work to determine if it meets the criteria of High-Risk Safety Work as identified in Appendix A
- determine if a document exists for the work that can address and/or document all hazards and controls (e.g., working at heights, critical lift, etc.) then a JHA is not required.
- determine if a JHA already exists for the work, if no document exists request that the Workgroup Supervisor complete a JHA

5.4 Project/Job Lead/ Foreman etc. (where applicable)

- Ensure the JHA has been developed and completed.
- ensure that the JHA has been reviewed with all employees assigned to the work
- ensure the JHA is followed.

5.5 Subject Matter Expert

• support the risk analysis by providing expertise in specialized area of function. As an



example: Engineering input may be required to thoroughly evaluate the risk associated with a system or process/task when complete list of hazards is unknown by the developer of the JHA, or specialized high-risk activities such as diving.

5.6 Workgroup Supervisor

- support the Planner/Assessor, where applicable, to determine if the scope of work meets the criteria of High-risk Safety Work (see Appendix A and/or Appendix B for guidance)
- review and ensure no additional hazards are present for existing documents or JHA's,
- ensure the document or JHA has appropriate reviews
- approve the JHA when satisfied all hazards and controls have been addressed to the appropriate level, using the Hierarchy of Controls
- ensure the signed JHA has been scanned and sent to local safety representatives

5.7 Workers/Contractors

- support the process for developing the JHA
- provide input and feedback on the analysis to ensure no additional hazards are present without mitigation controls
- participate and sign the JHA once understood and ready for work

6.0 <u>STANDARD</u>

A Job Hazard Analysis is a documented, systematic approach to hazard identification, assessment, and mitigation. This tool is typically developed during the Planning stage of work and can be several weeks prior to the job starting, or in some circumstances, on short notice. However, to ensure the proper amount of rigor and analysis have gone into the job, considerations for early planning should always be implemented.

It is important to note that all work being completed at NB Power facilities must have a risk assessment completed to understand all hazards and controls applicable to the job and to ensure the health and safety of all workers. A Job Hazard Analysis is one method of documenting a risk assessment. For routine work or low risk jobs, a Tailboard Conference/ Pre-Job Briefing may be all that is required, if an existing safe work practice does not exist. Utilize Section 6.1 to make this determination.

For urgent or reactive unplanned work, it is still necessary to assess the risk and identify appropriate controls prior to execution. At no time will NB Power put the health and safety of workers or contractors at risk in lieu of having a documented risk assessment for the work. Should you require support, contact your Supervisor and/or local safety representative.

6.1 Identify the Need for a Job Hazard Analysis

This section describes the process for identifying the need for a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA). Please see Appendix A and B for further guidance and decision making.

Step	Action			
Planne	Planner/Assessor or Supervisor where no Planner/Assessor s involved			
1.	Review the Work Order or Project details.			

Health & Safety Standards Document Number: HSEE-03-01

Date Effective:Revision No:2023-06-1203

Page **5** of **20**

Title:

Énergie NB Power

Hazard Identification, Assessment & Mitigation for Completing a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)

	If the work	Then
	does not meet the criteria for	use appropriate safe work procedures and
	High Risk Safety Work	tailboard conference/pre-job brief requiremen
		No JHA is required.
	meets the criteria for High Risk Safety Work	proceed to Step 3.
3.	Determine if a document exists ((other than a JHA) for the work that includes
		ards and controls to be implemented, example:
		procedures with scope of work, critical lift
	•	king at heights, etc. Proceed as follows:
	If a document	Then
	exists	• review the assigned work details. If possi
		perform a job site visit, otherwise;
		review/familiarize with existing documer
		pictures, video footage, etc. of the job
		site/location to determine if
		additional high-risk hazards
		exist that are not covered in
		the document.
		• proceed to Step 4.
	does not exist	Proceed to step 5
4.	Proceed as follows:	
	If	Then
	No additional high risk	no JHA is required. Use appropriate safe wor
	hazards exist	procedures and tailboard conference/pre-job
		brief requirements.
	Additional high risk	• edit document
	hazards exist and	• follow appropriate safe work procedures an
	document/Safe Work Practice	tailboard conference/pre-job brief
	can be edited	requirements
		• no JHA required.
	Additional high risk	JHA required to document hazards and control
	hazards exist however	that do not exist in the existing document/safe
	document cannot be edited	work procedure. Proceed to Step 5.
5.	Determine if a JHA already ex	ists:
	If a	Then
	JHA already exists	the JHA must undergo a review for applicabil
	-	to the job, including a site visit (where possib
		and must be updated with new date and appro
prior to use.		
		prior to use.



6.2 Prepare the Job Hazard Analysis

This section describes the process for preparing a Job Hazard Analysis JHA

Step	Action				
	Workgroup Supervisor/Project/Job Lead or Contractor				
1.	Initiate form 0554 Job Hazard Analysis or Contractor/Site Specific JHA Form. <i>Note:</i> If using an existing JHA, determine if the hazards and controls listed are still appropriate and add additional hazards and controls as deemed necessary.				
2.	If satisfactory, proceed to Section 6.3. Otherwise, proceed to Step 2 Consult with the appropriate Subject Matter Experts, Health & Safety or				
2.	individuals involved in performing the work to supply the detail, as required.				
3.	 Break the job down into basic steps (example 6-8), providing a brief description of what work is to be done. Avoid: making the breakdown so detailed that there is an unnecessarily large number of steps. making the breakdown so general that important steps are missed. 				
4.	Clearly list all the potential hazards for each step of the job. Use lessons learned if available, from jobs already completed that relates or is similar to the task to be performed to assist with identifying hazards. Consider arranging a meeting with Subject Matter Experts, Health & Safety, and those involved with the job to discuss the hazards and preventive measures to eliminate or mitigate them. (Hierarchy of Controls.)				
5.	Using Appendix C: Risk Evaluation, perform a risk evaluation for each of the identified hazards within the steps. Ensure that both the severity and likelihood of the hazard occurring are taken into consideration. Clearly demonstrate the inherent risk before controls are implemented, and document residual risk, after controls have been identified, using the Hierarchy of Controls. Always consider the Line of Fire when identifying and assessing risks. The line of fire is the path an object under tension, pressure, suspension, or any other energy will travel should something fail or go wrong. Body positioning and restrictions to the job location based on the line of fire hazards can increase the controls necessary to ensure the health and safety of the workers during job execution. Never make assumptions about the risks, evaluate and consult with subject matter experts if you are uncertain.				
6.	Once the risk has been identified as very low, low, moderate, high or critical, identify the required controls using the Tables outlined in Appendix C as guidance. When the risk has been reduced to a Moderate (yellow) level or Very Low/Low (green) level using controls, the work can begin. If implemented controls do not allow the risk to reduce to an acceptable level, work cannot be performed without Corporate Total Health & Safety approval.				
7.	Document your analysis on the Job Hazard Analysis form #0554 (or review submitted form from Contractor), sign and date the form in the <i>Prepared By</i> field.				

Health & Safety Standards	Document Number: HSEE-03-01	Date Effective: 2023-06-12	Revision No: 03	Page 7 of 20
Énergie NB Power		ication, Assessment ob Hazard Analysis	0	

6.3 Review and Approve the Job Hazard Analysis

This section describes the process for the review and approval of a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA).

All JHA documents shall be submitted for review in an appropriate timeframe to ensure adequate time for review and consultation. Typically, this is performed during the planning stage of work and can be several weeks prior to the job starting, or in some circumstances, on short notice review, as required. However, to ensure the proper amount of rigor and analysis have gone into the job, considerations for early planning should always be implemented.

Step	Action				
Workgro	Workgroup Supervisor/Project/Job Lead or Contractor				
1.	Review the JHA and other relevant information (example: SDS) in the package				
	to ensure all job steps have been properly identified, hazards have been				
	identified, and controls are adequate to mitigate any potential hazards.				
2.	Submit the JHA to reviewers (example: Engineering, Management, SME, etc)				
3.	Once it is returned submit to NB Power Local Safety Representatives, IF AVAILABLE. supervisor or job lead is acceptable				
Local Sa	fety Representatives				
4.	May review the JHA and other relevant information(example: SDS) in the				
	package to ensure all job steps have been properly identified, hazards have been				
	identified, and controls are adequate to mitigate any potential hazards.				
5.	If changes are required, return any change requests to the Workgroup				
	Supervisor/ Project/Job Lead or Contractor.				
6.	Once the document has been returned with appropriate changes, review, sign				
	and date the form and forward to the Workgroup Supervisor/Project/Job Lead				
	or Contractor.				
Workgro	oup Supervisor/Project/Job Lead or Contractor				
7.	Approve and send (record keeping) the JHA to your local safety representative				

6.4 Amendments and Field Revisions to JHA

At times, due to working conditions or discovery work, it may be necessary to make changes to the existing Job Hazard Analysis in the field or shortly before the execution of work. Any revision necessary requires the workplace supervisor's approval.

Once all revisions have been made, it is imperative to review with the entire crew for their knowledge and understanding as well. A sign off of the document (verbal if necessary due to logistics) is required again when any new information has been added or omitted from the JHA.

6.5 Lifecyle of Job Hazard Analysis

A Job Hazard Analysis is to be used for specific jobs and projects. However, if there is an absence of a safe work practice/procedure, a JHA can be reused for a job that meets all the same requirements laid out in the plan. However, the JHA must be reviewed for applicability to the job and must go through the same review and approval process as when it was created.



Regardless of the age of the JHA, the details must be reviewed to ensure they meet the latest regulations, policies, industry best practices etc. and have all the appropriate hazards and controls identified.

See note in Appendix B.

6.6 NB Power's Hazard Inventory

NB Power maintains a master Hazard Inventory. This is a living document as it is updated as new hazards are identified or when controls are improved on. The following can lead to updates to the master list:

- Incidents, workplace inspections and audits which outlines hazards not previously identified
- Field observations identifying hazards not previously captured
- New or changing equipment/processes adopted by the company
- Task / project specific JHAs capturing hazards not previously captured.

The master Hazard Inventory can be used as a tool when developing work methods or task specific JHAs.

7.0 TRAINING

HAZ001 - Hazard Identification, Mitigation and Control

8.0 <u>APPENDIX</u>

Appendix A: Identification of High-Risk Safety Work

Appendix B: Decision Tree

Appendix C: Risk Evaluation and Guidance Tables

Rond Roy

Director of Total Health & Safety

Health & Safety Standards

Énergie NB Power

Document Number: HSEE-03-01 Date Effective: 2023-06-12 03

Revision No: Page 9 of 20

Hazard Identification, Assessment & Mitigation for Completing a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)

Revision #	Date	Revision Summary	Author	Reviewed By	Approved By
1	2021/01/27	Correction of minor grammatical and formatting errors.	Erin Price	Melinda Mallery	Robin Condon
		Update to 5.1 & 5.2 to include the responsibility to support all stakeholders in ensuring legislative compliance, if required.			
		Included values from Table 2 in Table 1, Risk Matrix for ease of use.			
		Revised formatting of Risk Matrix table legends			
2	2021/08/27	Revised based on feedback from training sessions, including formatting	Sarah Riche	Hercules Georgiadis	Robin Condon
		Updated Scope to remove Contractor JHA form needing NBP approval.			
		Updated Section 5.0 to include context around different terminology for titles and roles throughout the corporation.			
		Added paragraph to Section 6.0 regarding urgent or reactive unplanned work			
		Added Sections 6.4 and 6.5 as per comments			

Title:

Health & Safety Standards	Document Number: HSEE-03-01	Date Effective: 2023-06-12	Revision No: 03	Page 10 of 20
Énergie NB Power		ication, Assessment Job Hazard Analysis	0	r

03	2023-06-12	Section 6.6 NB Power's Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	H. Georgiadis	H&S Team R. Cook M. Parks	R. Roy
		Changed title NB Power Health and Safety Representative / Department (local) to Local Safety Representatives			



Appendix A: Identification of High-Risk Safety Work

Jobs that have documented procedures and standards to support the systematic approach to identifying hazards and controls *may not* require a Job Hazard Analysis. However, in some cases where new processes are introduced, a change to the document is required (specific to hazard identification and control), or a newly introduced hazard is present without supporting documentation of controls for implementation, a JHA may be required to support existing work processes. The list below is not a conclusive list of high-risk work, however, can be utilized to support the development or decision towards creating a JHA. Locally documented procedures and safe work methods can be used to support the decision of creating a JHA. However, based on a review of the procedure, a gap analysis may be required to supplement the hazard identification and controls, outside of the existing work practice. See Appendix B for addition guidance on making this decision.

Hazard Type	Issues	High Energy	Documents to support Job
Air Quality/Hazardous Chemicals	 When air quality has the potential to be immediately dangerous to life or health: Oxygen (less than 19.5% – greater than 23%). Combustible gases are present above 50% of the LEL. Chemical substances or airborne concentrations above 50% of NIOSH IDLH values. Chemical exposures in a confined space where the airborne concentrations are not known. Where there is the potential for exposure to asbestos or lead. Where there is the potential for exposure to chemical substances above 50% of the TLV. Where there is the potential for exposure to chemical substances above 50% of the TLV. 	High Risk Lift Compared by the second seco	HSEE-03-17 Confined Space Entry

Health & Safety

Standards

Énergie NB Power

Document Number: HSEE-03-01 Date Effective:Re2023-06-1203

Revision No: Page 12 of 20

Title:

Hazard Identification, Assessment & Mitigation for Completing a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)

	feces, etc.).		
Confined Spaces	 Air quality. Electric shock. Restricted entry and/or exits. Enclosed or partially enclosed. 	High Risk	HSEE-03-17 Confined Space Entry
Critical Lifts	Coordination.Lift drop zones.Barricading.	Gravity Figure 1 Suspended Load	HSEE-03-12 Rigging and Lifting Operations
Temperature Extremes	 When working in an environment where there is a significant potential for Heat Stress or Cold Stress. Cold – Great Danger category (Corporate Safety Manual). Heat – Hi-Risk Category (Corporate Safety Manual). Work in extreme weather conditions. 	Temperature ↓ 150*F High Temperature	Corporate Safety Manual
Working at Heights	 Working at heights or near an unguarded edge where the use of fall protection is required. Working from a powered mobile work platform. 	Gravity	HSEE-03-16 Fall Protection
Rope Work	All rope activities where personnel will be suspended by rope including: • Rope Access • Work positioning • Training	Gravity	

Health & Safety
StandardsDocument
Number:
HSEE-03-01Date Effective:
2023-06-12Revision No:
03Page 13 of 20Mumber:
2023-06-12Document
Number:
HSEE-03-01Date Effective:
2023-06-12Revision No:
03Page 13 of 20Mumber:
Hazard Identification, Assessment & Mitigation for
Completing a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)Page 13 of 20

Electrical Work	• When working near or on live electrical equipment or apparatus	Electrical 2 50 Volts 2 50 Volts Electrical Contact with Source Electrical Electrical Arc Flash	HSEE-03-25 Minimum Approach Distances.
Diving	• For all diving operations.	High Risk	HSEE-03-22 Diving and Underwater Operations
Excavations	Asphyxiation.Air quality.Buried services.	Pressure 2 5' Excavation or Trench	
High Pressure	 Pneumatic pressure >15psi. Hydraulics under mechanical pressure. Any liquid under a pressure and at a temperature that is such that the liquid will change to a gas or vapor when the pressure is reduced to atmospheric pressure. 	Pressure Explosion	Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, NB Reg 84-174
Open Water	• All work on or near open water where there is a risk of drowning.	High Risk	HSSE-03-28 Water Operations

Health & Safety Standards

Énergie NB Power

Document Number: HSEE-03-01 Date Effective:Re2023-06-1203

Revision No: Page 14 of 20

Title:

Hazard Identification, Assessment & Mitigation for Completing a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)

Rotating equipment	All work near unguarded rotating equipment.	Mechanical	
Line of Fire	Evaluate the path an object under tension, pressure, suspension or any other energy will travel should something fail or go wrong	Mechanical t f f f f Tension	HSEE-03-51 Line of Fire
New or Unknown Hazards	When introducing new processes, chemicals or equipment that has never been used before or is substantially different from what has been in use.		HSEE-03-01 Hazard Identification, Assessment, and Mitigation for Completing a Job Hazard Analysis



Appendix B: Decision Tree





Note: All existing JHAs that are being used must undergo a review for applicability to the job and must be updated with new date and approval prior to use. Consider hosting a meeting with all stakeholders during the development of the document. This should be a cross-functional activity.



Appendix C: Risk Evaluation and Guidance Tables

The purpose of performing a risk evaluation of each identified hazard is to ensure appropriate controls are implemented to the level of inherent risk.

Implementing a control that is inadequate will place personnel, equipment, the environment, or company reputation in unnecessary risk; while implementing a higher level of control than is necessary can make performing a task more challenging, cause frustration and could result in lost productivity.

The level of inherent risk will dictate the action level required to ensure all reasonable and practicable measures have been taken. Should the level of residual risk remain higher than Moderate (yellow), a review must be undertaken involving Corporate Total Health & Safety and appropriate management groups.

For guidance on the following:

Guidance	Table
Risk Matrix	Table 1
Probability/Likelihood	Table 2
Frequency of Exposure	Table 3
Severity/Consequence of Impact	Table 4
Hierarchy of Controls	Table 5
Action Level based on risk	Table 6

Considerations throughout your risk evaluation should include potential impacts to: **People, Assets, Environment, and Company Reputation**. If you are unclear or require guidance, contact your local Safety Representative



Document Number: HSEE-03-01 Date Effective: 2023-06-12 03

Page **17** of **20** Revision No:

Title:

Hazard Identification, Assessment & Mitigation for Completing a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA)

Table 1 – Risk Matrix

S E	Consequences	Probability or Likelihood (consider the frequency that workers are exposed)				ed)
V E	Consider the following factors:	1	2	3	4	5
R I	PEOPLE (Injury) ASSETS (Property/Equipment)	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High	Critical
T Y	ENVIRONMENTAL (Spills) REPUTATION (Media)	< 1% Rare	1-20% Unlikely	21-50% Possible	51-90% Likely	> 90% Almost certain
1	Slight injury/ Illness or Insignificant Impact	Very Low (2)	Low (3)	Low (4)	Moderate (5)	Moderate (6)
2	Minor Injury/ Illness or Minor Impact	Low (3)	Low (4)	Moderate (5)	Moderate (6)	High (7)
3	Major Injury/ Illness or Moderate Impact	Low (4)	Moderate (5)	Moderate (6)	High (7)	High (8)
4	Permanent Disability or Major Impact	Moderate (5)	Moderate (6)	High (7)	High (8)	Critical (9)
5	Fatalities or Catastrophic Impact	Moderate (6)	High (7)	High (8)	Critical (9)	Critical (10)

Risk Matrix Legend			
Add: Probability/Likelihood (1-5) + Severity/Consequence (1-5)			
Outcome Calculation Range			
Very Low / Low	2-4		
Moderate	5-6		
High 7 – 8			
Critical	9-10		



Table 2 – Probability/Likelihood Impact

Level of Impact	Category	Description
1	Very Low	< 1% Rare - may occur but likely never will
2	Low	1-20% Unlikely - could occur, but not expected
3	Moderate	21-50% Possible - has potential to occur without adequate controls
4	High	51-90% Likely - will probably occur if controls and barriers have weaknesses or gaps
5	Critical	>90% Almost certain to occur without adequate controls

Table 3 – Frequency of Exposure

Frequency	Description		
Infrequent	Exposure to the hazard occurs at least once per year, or less		
Occasional	Exposure to the hazard occurs monthly, or most months		
Frequent	Exposure to the hazard occurs at least weekly, or most weeks		
Continuous	Exposure to the hazard occurs daily, or most days		



Table 4 – Consequences/Severity of Impact

Level of Risk	Description or Example				
	People	Assets	Environment	Reputation	
Very Low	Slight injury or illness, bump or bruise	Insignificant, less than \$1000 damage with no disruption to process	Insignificant impact, localized impact, 0 - 10 liters	Insignificant impact, no public awareness	
Low	Minor injury or illness, First Aid only, could also include Restricted Work Injury	Minor damage, less than \$10,000 damage with possible brief disruption to process	Minor impact, no permanent impact on environment, 10-100 liters	Minor impact, public awareness of the incident may exist, no public concern	
Moderate	Minor to Major injury, medical aid treatment, Restricted Work Injury	Moderate damage, less than \$50,000 damage and some process disruption	Moderate impact, repeat occurrence, impact on neighboring area, 100-1000 liters	Moderate impact, some local public concern, slight local media attention	
High	Major injury or illness (Permanent Disability), lost time accident	Major damage, more than \$50,000 damage and shut down of process impacting plant	Major impact, severe environmental impact, extensive cleanup required, 1000-10,000 liters	Major impact, regional public concern, extensive negative attention	
Critical	Single or Multiple fatalities	Catastrophic damage, more than \$1,000,000 damage and plant shutdown	Catastrophic impact, severe environmental impact, extensive cleanup required, >10,000 liters	Catastrophic impact, national and possibly international media attention	



Table 5 – Hierarchy of Controls

Type of Control	Description
Elimination	Elimination of hazards at the source can provide the highest degree of risk reduction by removing the likelihood of occurrence or severity of harm.
Substitution	Substitution can eliminate or reduce risk by changing the product, process, or service.
Engineering Controls	Engineering controls are effective by reducing the probability of a hazardous event occurring. They can limit access, limit exposure, reduce energy at the source or provide an alternate means of interacting with the energy source.
Administrative Controls	These include but are not limited to access restrictions through warning signs, hazard training, procedure use, audible alarms, etc.
Personal Protective Equipment	PPE can reduce worker risk while interacting with a hazard. PPE is used with administrative controls such as training and operating procedures.

Table 6 – Actions Required at Each Risk Level

Risk Level	Required Action
Very Low/Low Risk	Generally administrative controls and/or PPE are adequate to address the hazard, with oversight.
Moderate Risk	The use of Administrative controls or PPE as a control is only acceptable when they have been proven effective through experience and incident records (i.e. use of respiratory protection to manage occupational exposure
High Risk	The use of Administrative controls or PPE as a control is only acceptable when elimination, substitution, and engineering controls are unreasonable and may only start when the risk has been reduced through this hierarchy of controls to a satisfaction of Supervision, Management and Health & Safety with continuous effort to improve.
Critical Risk	This level of risk is intolerable. Considerable effort must be made to significantly reduce the risk through the use of elimination, substitution or engineering controls, and work cannot proceed until risk is reduced.

See Job Hazard Analysis (JHA) Form 0554 for further instructions on how to complete the risk evaluation. Should you require assistance on completion, please contact your local Safety Representative